### Measuring Gene Expression Part 2

David Wishart Bioinformatics 301 david.wishart@ualberta.ca

### **Objectives**

- Review of detailed principles of microarrays (methods, data collection)
- Understand differences between spotted arrays versus Affy gene chips (advantages/disadvantages)
- Steps to doing microarrays and possible sources of error

# **Measuring Gene Expression\***

- Differential Display
- Serial Analysis of Gene Expression (SAGE)
- RNA-Seq
- RT-PCR (real-time PCR)
- Northern/Southern Blotting
- DNA Microarrays or Gene Chips

### **Microarrays**



### **DNA Microarrays\***

- Principle is to analyze gene (mRNA) or protein expression through large scale non-radioactive Northern (RNA) or Southern (DNA) hybridization analysis
- Essentially high throughput Northern Blotting method that uses Cy3 and Cy5 fluorescence for detection
- Allows expressional analysis of up to 20,000 genes simultaneously

### Four Types of Microarrays\*

- Photolithographically prepared short oligo (20-25 bp) arrays (1 colour)
- Spotted glass slide cDNA (500-1000 bp) arrays (2 colour)
- Spotted nylon cDNA (500-1000 bp) arrays (1 colour/radioactive)
- Spotted glass slide oligo (30-70 bp) arrays (1 or 2 colour)

# Principles of 2 Colour Microarrays\*



# Microarray Definition of Probe and Target

- There are two acceptable and completely opposite definitions. We will use:
- Target = the DNA that is spotted on the array
- Probe = the DNA that is labeled with the fluorescent probe



# 2-Colour Microarray Principles\*



# **Typical 2-Colour Data**



### Microarrays & Spot Colour\*





### Principles of 1 Colour Microarrays



### Microarrays & Spot Colour\*





# Two Colour vs. One Colour

- Two-colour hybridization eliminates artifacts due to variation in:
  - quantity of DNA spotted
  - stringency of hybridization
  - local concentration of label
- However,
  - both samples \*must\* label with equivalent efficiency
  - Information is lost for genes not expressed in the reference or control sample

# Two Colour vs. One Colour

- One-colour hybridization may have artifacts due to variation in:
  - quantity of DNA spotted
  - stringency of hybridization
  - local concentration of label
- However good quality control (QC) means,
  - fewer artifacts
  - less manipulation, lower cost
  - reduced loss of information (due to reference sample transcript content)

# **Specific Arrays of Interest**

Home-made Spotted Oligo Arrays

 Made using glass slides, Operon oligos and robotic spotting equipment

- Applied Microarrays CodeLink Arrays
  - Made using specially treated slides, QC'd oligos and robotic spotting equipment
- Affymetrix Gene Chips
  - Made using photolithographically produced systems with multi-copy oligos

## **Array Images\***



Oligo Microarray 2 colour

**Applied Microarrays** 

1 colour

### **Array Images\***







Affymetrix Gene Chip 1 colour

### **Home-made Spotted Arrays**



# **Spotted Microarrays\***

- Target spots are >100μm and are usually deposited on glass
- Targets can be:
  - oligos (usually >40mers)
  - PCR fragments from cDNA/EST or genomic templates (rarely done)
- Not reused; 2-colour hybridizations



### **Standard Spotted Array**





### **Home-made Microarrays**



### Common Home-made Microarray Errors\*



**Irregular Spot** 



**Comet Tail** 



Streaking







Hi Background Low Intensity A Good Array

# **Testing Reproducibility**

- Breast tumor tissue biopsy
- mRNA prepared using standard methods
- Control sample made from pooled mRNA from several cell types
- 3 RNA samples prepared from 1 tissue source – arrayed onto two sets of homemade chips from different suppliers
- Conducted pairwise comparison of intensity correlations & no. of spots

### **Home-made Arrays**



### **Home-made Arrays**



# Advantages to Home-made Systems\*

- Cheapest method to produce arrays (\$100 to \$300/slide)
- Allows lab full control over design and printing of arrays (customizable)
- Allows quick adaptation to new technologies, new target sets
- Allows more control over analysis

# Disadvantages to Home-made Systems\*

- Quality and quality-control of oligo target set is highly variable
- Quality of spotting and spot geometry is highly variable
- Technology is very advanced, difficult and expensive to maintain (robotics)
- Reproducibility is poor

### Applied Microarrays CodeLink Arrays



# Applied Microarrays CodeLink Arrays

- Applied Microarrays synthesizes its 30-nucleotide oligos offline, tests them by mass spectrometry, deposits them on <u>specially coated</u> (polyacrylamide) array, and then assays them for quality control
- Uses a special Flex Chamber™—a disposable hybridization chamber already attached to the slide to improve hybridization consistency

# Applied Microarrays CodeLink Oligo Chip



# **CodeLink Special Coating**

- Most glass substrates are quite hydrophobic
- This hydrophobicity affects the local binding and surface chemistry of most glass-slide chips making most of the attached DNA oligo inaccessible
- Coating the slide with a hydrophilic polymer allows the cDNA to pair up with the substrate oligos much better

# **Applied Microarrays Array**



#### **Morphology Does Not Affect Dynamic Range** CodeLink Bioarrays Can Achieve Linearity Across 3 Logs\*



- The red line indicates the signal level for non-spiked target.
- Error bars represent one standard deviation for each mean (n=18) signal

\*Data obtained from cRNA dilution series.

# **Testing Reproducibility**

- Breast tumor tissue biopsy
- mRNA prepared using standard methods
- 3 RNA samples prepared from 1 tissue source – arrayed onto 3 different sets of CodeLink chips
- Conducted pairwise comparison of intensity correlations, intensity ratio correlations & number of "passed" spots

### Intensity, Pairwise Comparisons



### Applied Microarrays Slides

1) R=1	95%Cl=(1	-1) N=8258
2) R=0.9	99 95%CI=(0	.99-1) N=8332
3) R=0.9	99 95%CI=(0	.99-0.99) N=8290



### **Ratio, Pairwise Comparisons**



### Applied Microarrays Slides

R=0.98 95%CI=(0.98-0.98) N=7694
 R=0.97 95%CI=(0.97-0.98) N=7873
 R=0.97 95%CI=(0.97-0.97) N=7694



### **General Comparison**

Appl Micro Inter

	1) <b>R=1</b>	95%Cl=(1-1)	N=8258	a and a second s
ol Micro Intensity	2) R=0.99	95%Cl=(0.99-1)	N=8332	
	3) R=0.99	95%Cl=(0.99-0.99)	N=8290	2
		-		
	1) R=0.98	95%Cl=(0.98-0.98)	N=7694	Anopher Tabladish Tab ben Gillen 12 In Para and California (California) In Para and California (California) In Para and California) In Para and California
Appl Micro Ratio	2) <b>R=0.97</b>	95%Cl=(0.97-0.98)	N=7873	Cet un
	3) <b>R=0.97</b>	95%Cl=(0.97-0.97)	N=7694	5 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
		-		
	1) <b>R=0.7</b>	95%Cl=(0.68-0.72)	N=2027	Veccore das Ya ladota Per de Salar 3
Vancouver	2) R=0.65	95%Cl=(0.62-0.67)	N=2818	
	3) <b>R=0.61</b>	95%Cl=(0.59-0.64)	N=2001	1 definition of the second sec
			NL 4000	· 아파아 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 가지 않는 것이 있다. 1 - · 아파 가지 않는 것이 같은 것이 있는 것이 같은 것이 있다. 1 - · 아파 가지 않는 것이 같은 것이 있는 것이 같은 것이 있
	1) R=0.66	95%CI=(0.62-0.69)	N=1028	
Calgary I	2) R=0.86	95%CI=(0.85-0.87)	N=1925	Transformed and the second
5 1	3) R=0.64	95%Cl=(0.61-0.68)	N=1040	2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
				Copyra Fuel 1055 The Taplace For two Select 1 For the VERCHART FLAG. And 2
	1) R=0.49	95%CI=(0.44-0.54)	N=942	
Calgary II	2) R=0.81	95%CI=(0.8-0.83)	N=1700	a promovo (hr)
	3  P=0.57	$05\%$ CI=(0 52_0 61)	N=073	

### **Comparative Accuracy**

	<b>RT-PCR</b>	Spotted Array	CodeLink		
GENES	Expression Pattern TaqMan	Expression Pattern Operon	Expression Pattern Applied Micr		
hENT1	+	-	+		
hENT2	+	-	+		
hCNT1	-	-	-		
hCNT2	-	+	-		
dck	+	-	+		
ER	+	-	+		

### **CodeLink Advantages\***

- Exceptional reproducibility because of:
  - careful target design
  - QC of oligo preparations and spotting
  - high proportion of oligo binding to cDNA substrate due to hydrophilic coating
  - well controlled/uniform hybridization
- Allows users to continue using same scanners/software as in spotted arrays

# CodeLink Disadvantages\*

- Lack of flexibility or customizability (users depend on Applied Microarrays to provide & design chips)
- Dependent on proprietary kits and reagents
- More expensive than spotted arrays (\$700/chip)

# **Cost per Sample in Triplicate**

- Applied Microarrays Slides (single channel)
  <u>\$2000</u>
- Vancouver Spotted Arrays (two colour)
   \$800
- Calgary Spotted Arrays (two colour)
   \$1100

# **Affymetrix Gene Chips\***

- Chips are 1.7 cm<sup>2</sup>
- 400,000 oligo set pairs
- Probe "spots" are 20μ x
  20μ
- Each target is 25 bases long
- 11-20 "match" targets and 11-20 "mismatch" targets per gene



# **Affymetrix Gene Chip\***







Affy Chip\*



# Affy Chip\*

- 11-20 targets for each gene/EST
- Each target is 25 bases long
- 1 has exact match, the other is mismatched in the middle base
- Match (M) and mismatch (MM) pairs are placed next to each other
- Expression levels calculated using intensity difference between M & MM for all target pairs

### **Affymetrix Hybridization\***

![](_page_47_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Affy Chips**

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **Affy Chips**

![](_page_50_Figure_1.jpeg)

Kuo et al. (2002) Bioinformatics

# Comparison of Affymetrix and Spotted cDNA Arrays

161 620 matched pairs of measurements from 56 cell lines

![](_page_51_Figure_3.jpeg)

# Affymetrix GeneChip Advantages\*

- High precision because of:
  - careful target design
  - up to 20 targets per gene
  - up to 20 mismatch targets
- Very precise measurements
- Very high density (500,000 elements/ array)

# Affymetrix GeneChips Disadvantages\*

- Inflexible: each array requires custom photolithographic masks
- More expensive than spotted arrays (\$600-\$800 per chip)
- Proprietary technology
  - not all algorithms, information public
  - only one manufacturer of readers, etc.

### **General Comments\***

- Spotted arrays are still wildly popular and widely used – a great learning tool for expression analysis
- Problems have been resolved but spotted arrays are generally less reliable than commercial systems
- Commercial systems (CodeLink and Affy) offer much greater reliability but are expensive & inflexible

# **Microarray Production\***

- Target design and selection
- Printing
- RNA extraction
- Labeling
- Hybridization and washing
- Scanning
- Data analysis

Slide making

Experimental

# **Target Design & Selection\***

- Synthetic oligos 25-70 bases in length
- Choose sequences complementary to mRNA of interest
- Random base distribution and average GC content for organism
- Avoid long A+T or G+C rich regions
- Minimize internal secondary structure (hairpins or other loops)
- 1 M salt + 65 °C thermostability

# **Target Design & Selection\***

- Design and select oligo sequences that are less than 75% identical to existing genes elsewhere in the genome (i.e. do a BLAST search)
- Sequences with >75% sequence identity to other sequences will cross-hybridize – leading to confounding results

# Osprey - Software for Microarray Target Design

![](_page_58_Picture_1.jpeg)

http://www.visualgenomics.ca/index.php?option=com\_wrapper&Itemid=8

### **Cross-hybridization**

![](_page_59_Figure_1.jpeg)

Analysis of a cross-hybridization within the CYP450 superfamily

Xu et al. (2001) Gene

![](_page_60_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **Microarray Printing**

- Targets are deposited by robots using:
  - piezo-electric jets
  - microcapillaries
  - split or solid pins
- Coated glass is the most common substrate
  - aminosilane, poly-lysine, etc. give non-covalent linkages
  - covalent linkage is possible with modified oligos + aldehyde (etc.) coatings

### **RNA Extraction**

- RNA is extremely unstable
- Probably the most problematic step in all microarray analysis
- RNA is extracted as "total RNA"
  - only 1-2% is mRNA
  - remainder is rRNA, tRNA, etc.
- RNA extracted from tissue is often very heterogeneous (many cells and cell types) – watch selectivity

# Laser Capture Microdissection

 Cells of interest are visually selected and exposed to an **IR** laser, which adheres them to a transfer film

![](_page_63_Picture_2.jpeg)

arcturus.com

### **RNA Labeling\***

- Common source of systematic error (freshness, contaminants)
- Direct labeling
  - fluorescent nucleotides are incorporated during reverse transcription ("first strand")
- Indirect labeling
  - reactive nucleotides (aminoallyl-dUTP) are incorporated during RT; first strand product is mixed with reactive fluorescent dyes that bind to amino group

### **Direct Labeling\***

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

Cy5

![](_page_65_Picture_2.jpeg)

Cy3-ATP

### **Indirect Labeling**

![](_page_66_Figure_1.jpeg)

### **Hybridization**

- Stringency of hybridization is affected by ions, detergents, formamide, temperature, time...
- Hybridization may be an important source of systematic error
- Automated hybridization systems exist; value is debatable

#### Lee et al. (2000) PNAS

# **How Many Replicates?**

Table 5. Misclassification percentages for different combinations of replicates

Classification	Combination of Replicates						
Outcome	(1)	(2)	(3)	(1, 2)	(1, 3)	(2, 3)	(1, 2, 3)
False positive, %	8.3	1.4	9.0	1.0	2.1	0.7	0.7
False negative, %	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.0	0.0
Misclassified, %	8.7	1.4	9.0	1.4	2.4	0.7	0.7
	<b>۲</b> Singletons		<b>⋎</b> Duplicates		3X		

 Substantial error when only one array analyzed, standard is to use 3 replicates

# What Types of Replicates?\*

Biological replicates

Technical replicates

![](_page_69_Figure_3.jpeg)

Biological replication is most important because it includes all of the potential sources for error

### **Microarray Production**

- Target design and selection
- Printing
- RNA extraction
- Labeling
- Hybridization and washing
- Scanning
- Data analysis